

## Homework 8 Answers

David Rubinstein - Math 100 - Fall 2019

11.1-2) Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . Write the relation that represents division.

**Solution:** We have  $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (6, 6), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 5), (1, 6), (2, 4), (2, 6), (3, 6)\}$

11.1-4) They give you a diagram, and ask to identify A and R

**Solution:** We have  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ .  
We also have  $R = \{(0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (0, 4), (4, 0), (3, 1), (1, 3), (1, 5), (5, 1), (2, 4), (4, 2)\}$

11.2-4) They give you a set A and relation R and ask if it is reflexive, transitive and symmetric.

**Solution:** It is all 3 lol. This is just definition hunting, so if you know the definition of reflexive, transitive, and symmetric, this is an easy verification.

11.2-14) Suppose R is a symmetric and transitive relation on a set A, and that there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $aRx$  for all x in A. Show that R is also reflexive.

**Solution:** Let  $x \in A$ . We have that  $aRx$  by assumption. Now R is symmetric so this implies we also have  $xRa$ . Finally since R is transitive we conclude that  $xRx$ , so R is reflexive.

(11.3-2) Let  $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ . Suppose R is an Equivalence relation on A and R has two equivalence classes. Also suppose  $aRd$ ,  $bRc$ , and  $eRd$ . Write out R as a set.

**Solution:** We have R is reflexive, transitive, and symmetric. Thus we can write R as  $R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e), (a, d), (d, a), (b, c), (c, b), (e, d), (d, e), (a, e), (e, a)\}$

(11.3-6) There are 5 Equivalence relations on the set  $A = \{a, b, c\}$

**Solution:** We get that the ER's are

$$R_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c)\}$$

$$R_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, a)\}$$

$$R_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, c), (c, a)\}$$

$$R_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, c), (c, b)\}$$

$$R_5 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, a), (a, c), (c, a), (b, c), (c, b)\}$$

(11.3-10) Suppose  $R$  and  $S$  are equivalence relations on a set  $A$ . Show that  $R \cap S$  is also an equivalence relation on  $A$ .

**Solution:** First assume  $R \cap S = \phi$ . Then it is vacuously an equivalence relation.

Now assume  $R \cap S$  is nonempty; we show it is reflexive, transitive, and symmetric.

Indeed let  $x \in A$ . Then  $xRx \wedge xSx$  so  $x(R \cap S)x$ .

Now assume  $(x, y) \in (R \cap S)$ . Then since  $R$  and  $S$  are both ERs we have  $(y, x) \in R$  and  $(y, x) \in S$  so  $(y, x) \in (R \cap S)$ .

Finally, we can show  $R \cap S$  is transitive in exactly the same way, as we just showed it is reflexive and symmetric, using the fact that both  $R$  and  $S$  are ERs.

(11.4-2) List all the partitions on the set  $A = \{a, b, c\}$ .

**Solution:** We get that there are 5 partitions,

1.  $\{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}\}$

2.  $\{\{a, b\}, \{c\}\}$

3.  $\{\{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$

4.  $\{a, c\}, \{c\}\}$

5.  $\{a, b, c\}$

Note these partitions correspond to the equivalence classes of the equivalence relations we found on problem (11.3-6)

(11.5-4) Write the addition and multiplication tables for  $\mathbb{Z}_6$

**Solution:** We first note that  $\mathbb{Z}_6 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}\}$ . We first compute the addition table.

+	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	x	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\bar{0}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\bar{1}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{0}$	1	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\bar{2}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	2	0	2	4	0	2	4
$\bar{3}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	3	0	3	0	3	0	3
$\bar{4}$	$\bar{4}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	4	0	4	2	0	4	2
$\bar{5}$	$\bar{5}$	$\bar{0}$	$\bar{1}$	$\bar{2}$	$\bar{3}$	$\bar{4}$	5	0	5	4	3	2	1

11.5-6) Suppose  $\overline{ab} = \bar{0}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . Is it necessarily true that  $\bar{a} = \bar{0}$  or  $\bar{b} = \bar{0}$ ? What if  $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ ?

**Solution:** If  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is is not true that one of  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}$  must be 0, since, for example  $\overline{23} = \bar{0}$ .

However, suppose  $\overline{ab} = \bar{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_7$ . Then we know  $7|ab$  and since 7 is prime we have that  $7|a$  or  $7|b$ , ie  $\bar{a} = \bar{0}$  or  $\bar{b} = \bar{0}$ .

This relates to my question3 on section 9 problems I sent you all, about zero divisors.

We see that  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  behaves as we have intuition for, but  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has some funky behavior.